

OPINION 1690

Helix (Helicigona) barbata Férussac, 1832 (currently *Lindholmiola barbata*; Mollusca, Gastropoda): lectotype designation confirmed

Ruling

(1) It is hereby confirmed that the name *Helix (Helicigona) barbata* is first available from Férussac (1832) and not from Férussac (1821).

(2) Under the plenary powers it is hereby confirmed that the specimen figured and named as *H. (H.) barbata* var. *a* by Férussac (1832, pl. 66*, fig. 3 and explanation), designated by Gittenberger & Groh (1986), is the lectotype of the nominal species *Helix (Helicigona) barbata* Férussac, 1832.

(3) The name *barbata* Férussac, 1832, as published in the binomen *Helix (Helicigona) barbata* and as defined by the lectotype designated by Gittenberger & Groh (1986), confirmed in (2) above, is hereby placed on the Official List of Specific Names in Zoology.

History of Case 2630

An application for the confirmation of the lectotype designation of *Helix (Helicigona) barbata* Férussac, 1832 was received from Mr D. Kadolsky (*Ewell, Surrey, U.K.*) on 20 November 1987. After correspondence the case was published in 47: 101–103 (June 1990). Notice of the case was sent to appropriate journals.

A comment by Prof Edmund Gittenberger (*Nationaal Natuurhistorisch Museum, Leiden, The Netherlands*), published in BZN 48: 53 (March 1991), supported placing on the Official List the specific name of *Helix barbata* Férussac defined by the lectotype designated by Gittenberger & Groh (1986): the specimen figured in Férussac's (1832) plate 66*, fig. 3. However, Prof Gittenberger disputed the date of availability cited for the name in the application (i.e. 1832). A reply by Mr Kadolsky, published in BZN 48: 243–244 (September 1991), reiterated that *H. barbata* was first made available in 1832 by the publication of Férussac's plate and explanation, and not in 1821.

A further comment, published in BZN 48: 244, noted that in Opinion 336 (March 1955) *Helix lens* Férussac, 1832 (fig. 2 on pl. 66*; not pl. 66, as given on BZN 10: 99, which illustrated different species and was subsequently renumbered as pl. 76) had been placed on the Official List; proposals (3) and (4)(b) on BZN 47: 103, para. 8 were therefore withdrawn from the application.

Decision of the Commission

On 1 March 1992 the members of the Commission were invited to vote on the proposals published in BZN 47: 103, with the withdrawals noted above. At the close of the voting period on 1 June 1992 the votes were as follows:

Affirmative votes — 26: Bayer, Bock, Bouchet, Cocks, Cogger, Corliss, Dupuis, Hahn, Heppell, Kabata, Kraus, Lehtinen, Macpherson, Mahnert, Martins de Souza, Minelli, Nielsen, Nye, Savage, Schuster, Starobogatov, Štys, Thompson, Trjapitzin, Uéno, Willink

Negative votes — 3: Halvorsen, Holthuis and Ride.

Holthuis and Ride considered that the name *barbata* had been made available in 1821.

Original references

The following is the original reference to the name placed on an Official List by the ruling given in the present Opinion:

barbata, *Helix* (*Helicigona*), Férussac, 1832, *Histoire naturelle générale et particulière des mollusques terrestres et fluviatiles*, Explication des planches des livraisons 22–27; pl. 66*, fig. 3.

The following is the reference for the designation of the lectotype of *Helix* (*Helicigona*) *barbata* Férussac, 1832:

Gittenberger, E. & Groh, K. 1986. *Archiv für Molluskenkunde*, **116**: 222.